IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : CRIMINAL ACTION

•

V.

:

JOSE SERRANO : NO. 21-198

<u>ORDER</u>

NOW, this 19th day of November, 2021, upon consideration of defendant's *pro se* Motion to Dismiss the Indictment and for Release on Bond (Doc. No. 30), and defendant having counsel, it is **ORDERED** that the motion is **DENIED**.¹

/s/ Timothy J. Savage TIMOTHY J. SAVAGE, J.

¹ Because the defendant is represented by counsel, his pro se submission will not be considered. Thus, we shall deny the motion without prejudice. See *United States v. Turner*, 677 F.3d 570, 578-79 (3d Cir. 2012) (explaining reason for rule providing that individuals represented by counsel are not permitted to file *pro se* briefs); *United States v. Young*, 2008 WL 163045, at *3 (EDPa Jan. 17, 2008) (noting decision not to entertain pro se filings by represented defendant in criminal case, aff'd, 450 F.App'x 223 (3d Cir. 2011) (non-precedential).